

# From The CIO's Desk

Market Commentary | November 21, 2025

## On the Bubble?

Matt Dmytryszyn, CFA® | Chief Investment Officer

A surge in stock prices of over 30% since the April lows<sup>1</sup>, along with growing headlines about sizable Al infrastructure investments, has stoked concerns about whether a rising bubble is developing in the equity market. This is a natural query given the circumstances, but the answer is not straightforward, as pockets of excess are conditional on the ultimate evolution of Al. In this assessment, we aim to address stock valuations and how we view the scenarios for Al buildout influencing the equity market.

#### **Current Market Trends**

Despite the economic uncertainty associated with tariffs and other geopolitical shifts in 2025, equity prices have continued to trend higher, with the S&P 500 up +14% on the year<sup>2</sup>. If you look back further, to the market lows in October 2022, the S&P 500 has generated a stellar 24% annualized return<sup>3</sup>, a level nearly three times its long-term average. This robust appreciation has stemmed from a combination of earnings growth in the underlying companies in the S&P 500, as well as higher valuations, as investors are willing to pay more for future growth, particularly among companies deemed likely beneficiaries of Al.

#### **Valuation Insights**

Where that leaves us today is that valuations sit at above-average levels for large companies. If you isolate this more specifically into higher-growth

companies, as denoted by the Russell 1000 Growth index, valuation multiples are near where they peaked in 2021, but still below the levels experienced in 1999, before the technology bubble. Given this, it's not a stretch to draw a corollary and conclude there is increased risk in equity markets. This may be fair, but there is much more to the story than the valuation of the broad index.

#### **Technology Sector Dynamics**

The high valuation of these broad indexes stems from the elevated valuations of leading technology companies, notably the Magnificent 7 stocks (Apple, Amazon, Alphabet, Meta, Microsoft, NVIDIA, Tesla). These stocks trade, on average, at a price-toearnings (P/E ratio) multiple of 36x earnings<sup>4</sup>. This is undoubtedly an elevated valuation, considering the S&P 500's long-term average price-to-earnings multiple is around 17x. However, one should consider that the earnings of these companies increased 27% over the past year<sup>5</sup>, compared to the S&P 500, which, over the long term, averages 7% growth. A rudimentary way to account for growth rates when considering valuation is to divide the P/E ratio by the growth rate<sup>6</sup>. For Mag 7 companies, this ratio is 1.3x, which currently stands at a reasonable level. This perspective, on the other hand, is predicated on the notion that the current growth rate does not begin to slow materially.

#### **Growth Rate Risks**

The risk of a slowing growth rate for Al-fueled stocks is a real consideration. However, that risk seems less likely in the near term, and we believe it is more likely to be company-dependent rather than industrywide. The number of companies competing to develop AI models capable of learning and inference is substantial. It not only includes models being developed by large, publicly traded companies but also newer, non-public entrants, such as OpenAl, Anthropic, xAI, and others. While it's uncertain how the AI buildout ultimately plays out, our expectation is that not all companies will share in material economic benefits from Al. Following other disruptive innovations from the past, such as automobiles or search engines, the number of competitors is likely to narrow over time, and not every company will reap a fair share of the profits.

#### **Investment Considerations**

The narrowing of competition is what we expect will lead to areas of excess in Al. At this point, technology companies continue to build, train, and refine Al models as inference use cases are only beginning to emerge. Al model developers recognize they need substantial infrastructure and compute power to adequately scale their business should customer demand for their Al solutions accelerate. The need to have this future capacity in place is what is fueling the recent surge in planned data center investment. In fact, Meta CEO Mark Zuckerberg commented this fall that he'd rather misspend a couple of hundred billion dollars than lose the Al race. Given Meta's balance sheet, they can afford this risk.

#### **Potential Hazards**

The hazard, as we see it, is that companies that fail to achieve the anticipated level of Al model adoption will be left with excess data center capacity. If we are accurate in our assessment that not all Al models will achieve commercial success, then at some point (many years into the future), we will likely face an environment with excess Al infrastructure. In addition, those companies that do not achieve success will have to grapple with the fact that they made investments, potentially in the hundreds of billions of dollars range, that will earn little to no return. The return on investment from those successful AI companies is not yet clear, but we expect the returns to be reasonable.

#### **Strategic Outlook**

The challenge as we sit here today is to predict who the ultimate winners will be, which can't be done with certainty. Therefore, our approach at this point in the Al development cycle is to maintain broad exposure to large tech companies and more proactively focus portfolio exposures in areas of the Al buildout that are less binary, such as those related to power demand. Alternatively, this perspective has led us to mitigate our exposure to data centers, which we view as having greater property-specific risk if the industry ultimately ends up with excess capacity from Al players that don't achieve commercial success.

#### **Market Diversification Lessons**

Much of the discussion and debate surrounding market excess centers on Al and the associated technology companies investing in this technology. If we look beyond these companies and at the broader market, we find valuations are more reasonable and more closely aligned with long-term averages. The divergence in valuations and growth rates serves as a reminder of the importance of portfolio diversification. Reflecting on the late 1990s, when there was an excess of internet stocks and infrastructure, the pullback in equity prices did not impact all stocks equally. Investors with exposure to higher-quality businesses or more attractively valued stocks were able to garner returns superior to those of broad-based U.S. large-cap equities, with a notable dispersion of stock returns. The lesson learned from this period was that diversification mattered, and not leaning entirely into an attractive trend served as the best strategy over the long term.

### **7** CONCLUSION

Given the strength of market gains over the last three years and the ambiguity surrounding the return potential from the sizable spending associated with AI infrastructure, we believe a reset in equity expectations toward a more moderate return expectation is appropriate. The opportunities that will stem from AI and other long-term thematic trends provide a solid basis for growth and investment opportunities. Not all companies will benefit, and we are entering a market environment where security selection and consideration around risk management will play a greater role than it may have in recent years. We are optimistic about the opportunities ahead, but we remain pragmatic that trends and market conditions will continue to evolve, and we will continue to evaluate the right mix of assets in our clients' portfolios.

#### Sources:

- <sup>1</sup> Based on return of S&P 500 index from April 8, 2025 through November 19, 2025.
- <sup>2</sup> As of November 19, 2025.
- <sup>3</sup> Bloomberg, 10/14/2022 through 11/19/2025.
- <sup>4</sup>Based on the Bloomberg Magnificent 7 Index, as of November 19, 2025.
- <sup>5</sup>BlackRock. As of November 17, 2025. https://www.blackrock.com/us/individual/literature/market-commentary/weekly-investment-commentary-en-us-20251117-at-last-key-us-economic-data-return.pdf
- <sup>6</sup> Referred to as a P/E to growth rate or PEG ratio



Composition Wealth is an independent, registered investment advisory firm. As fiduciaries, we are focused on providing a best-in-class experience, advice, and technology tailored to evolve with you over time so that you can live the story you've always envisioned.

Composition.com | Info@Composition.com